Exhibit 1

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiffs,

v.

CB SURETY, LLC, et al.,

Defendants.

CIVIL CASE No. 2:23-cv-02812-TLN-SCR

RECEIVER'S DECEMBER 29, 2025 INTERIM REPORT

Mark A. Roberts (the "Receiver"), as receiver of CB Surety LLC, Peak Bakery LLC, Cascades Pointe at Clemson, LLC, KP Testing, LLC, Motion Media Marketing Inc., SJC Financial Services Inc., Reseller Consultants, Inc., Ambragold, Inc., Think Processing LLC, Bass Business Consultants, and Won it All, Inc. (collectively the "Defendants") and Defendants' respective assets, by and through counsel, hereby submits this interim report (the "December 2025 Interim Report") pursuant to the January 5, 2024 preliminary injunction (the "Preliminary Injunction") continuing the interim receivership directing a receiver to file a report every 60 days [ECF No. 35] summarizing the Receiver's material activities. This report covers the period from October 29, 2025 through December 29, 2025.

I. BACKGROUND

1. On December 7, 2023, a temporary restraining order ("TRO" or "Order") was unsealed and appointed Kenneth R. Jones as receiver over CB Surety LLC, Peak Bakery LLC,

Cascades Pointe at Clemson, LLC, KP Testing, LLC, Motion Media Marketing Inc., SJC Financial Services Inc., Reseller Consultants, Inc., Ambragold, Inc., Think Processing LLC, and Bass Business Consultants [ECF No. 7]. The Court's order was based, in part, on the affidavit of US Postal Inspector Jason Chung [ECF No. 2-3] (the "Chung Affadavit").

- 2. The Receiver's First Interim Status Report was submitted to the court on December 29, 2023 [ECF No. 28]. On January 5, 2024, the Court issued the Preliminary Injunction in this matter, continuing the interim receivership and directing that the Receiver file a subsequent report every 60 days [ECF No. 35].
- 3. On August 8, 2024, the Court added Won It All, Inc. and Run It Up, Inc. as Receivership Entities [ECF No. 82]. On May 30, 2025, the Court removed Run It Up, Inc. as a Receivership Entity [ECF No. 110].
- 4. Pursuant to the Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 35] Kenneth R. Jones subsequently submitted interim reports on March 4, 2024 [ECF No. 67], May 3, 2024 [ECF No. 72], July 2, 2024 [ECF No. 79], September 3, 2024 [ECF No. 86], November 4, 2024 [ECF No. 91], January 3, 2025 [ECF No. 96], March 4, 2025 [ECF No. 99], May 5, 2025 [ECF No. 108], July 1, 2025 [ECF No. 113], and August 28, 2025 [ECF No. 119].
- 5. On September 12, 2025, the court granted the motion to substitute the receiver, relieving Kenneth R. Jones of his duties as the receiver and appointing Mark Roberts as the Receiver henceforth [ECF No. 120].
- 6. Additionally, Permanent Injunctions regarding defendants Cascades Point at Clemson, LLC, Reseller Consultants, Inc., Ambragold, Inc., Won it All, Inc., and Run it Up, Inc., have been entered into the case [ECF Nos. 107 and 110].
 - 7. On October 24, 2025, the court entered default judgement against Travis Smith,

Stephen Christopher, CB Surety LLC, Peak Bakery LLC, KP Testing, LLC, Motion Media Marketing Inc., SJC Financial Services Inc., Think Processing LLC, Bryan Bass and Bass Business Consultants [ECF No. 130 and 131].

8. Pursuant to the Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 35] the Receiver subsequently submitted an interim report on October 28, 2025 [ECF No. 132].

II. GENERAL OVERVIEW

- 9. At the outset of the receivership, the Receiver's activity focused on promptly identifying, collecting, and stabilizing the assets, as well as evaluating the prospect of identifying and identifying additional assets associated with the Defendants in accordance with the Order and Preliminary Injunction. The Receiver also undertook an analysis of Defendants' businesses. As the receivership process has continued, the Receiver continues to pursue assets while limiting ongoing expenses.
- 10. The Receiver has continued to work with the Department of Justice, other government agencies, and the Parties to fulfill his duties and obligations as set forth in the Court's Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 35] as well as the Permanent Injunctions that have since been entered in this case [ECF Nos. 107 and 110]. During this reporting period, the Receiver's primary focus has been on responding to requests for information from the government and the Parties and identifying additional Receivership Assets.

III. RECENT ACTIVITES OF RECEIVER

A. Asset Tracing and Recovery of Assets

11. The Receiver continues to undergo an effort to identify additional funds that may be property of the Receivership not previously identified. This included reviewing financial documents, court filings, background information of defendants, and other legal entities that may have been involved in the alleged scheme. The Receiver continues to make inquiries and requests

of financial institutions believed to have assets belonging to the Receivership. Similar to the previously identified approximately \$3 million in assets the Receiver recovered and reported in our report dated August 28, 2025 [ECF No. 119], the Receiver believes additional assets will be located and that effort is well underway.

B. Subpoenas to Financial Institutions

- 12. Through the Receiver's investigation of activities of the Defendants, the Receiver has been able to compile lists of entities, approximately 5,000, set up under the guidance of Defendants for use by Defendants or related parties. Based on a review of activities by Defendant entities, the Receiver believes these entities may have estate funds in accounts opened across a various number of financial institutions.
- 13. To identify additional Receivership Assets, the Receiver served subpoenas on eight financial institutions on October 9, 2025. Notices of those subpoenas are available on the docket [ECF Nos. 122-129]. Should these financial institutions not respond in accordance with these subpoenas, the Receiver would then move to file a motion to compel.
- 14. If the Receiver identifies additional Receivership Assets, the Receiver intends to preserve those assets in accordance with the Court's Preliminary and Permanent Injunction orders.

C. U.S. Department of Justice, Other Law Enforcement and Other Inquiries

15. The Receiver continues to receive requests for information from a variety of government agencies. These requests are largely responsible for the Receiver's ongoing forensic technology efforts.

D. Technology and Data Assets of Defendants

16. The Receiver is continuing to review materials collected by the Receiver and received from the government in support of the Receiver's obligations to "[c]ooperate with reasonable requests for information or assistance from any state or federal civil or criminal law enforcement agency" and "conduct discovery in this action on behalf of the receivership estate" [ECF No. 35, at 9]. Processing of new data obtained, ongoing collection of additional data, and responses to the aforementioned requests from the government, consistent with the Court's order, are the primary drivers of the Receiver's ongoing technology-related efforts.

E. Professional Fees and Receivership Costs

- 27. The fees and expenses incurred by the Receiver and his professionals are necessary to conduct the Receiver's investigation and to maintain and pursue assets. The Receiver's professional fees are directly related to efforts related to asset recovery and general project management. This includes previously discussed efforts and internal meetings to coordinate priorities, discussions with counsel, and drafting and responding to requests. A detailed invoice for time spent by the Receiver along with those he has deemed necessary is available at the request of the Court.
- 28. Counsel for the Receiver has transitioned from DLA Piper LLP (US) to ZwillGen PLLC (and ZwillGen Law LLP). This transition occurred because the lawyer representing the Receiver moved to ZwillGen PLLC. The Receiver wanted to keep continuity in the case along with ensuring that cost was kept at a minimum. The expenses for professional services from counsel at ZwillGen PLLC included conferring with the DOJ and advising the Receiver regarding requests for information to support the Receiver's duties under the Preliminary and Permanent Injunctions, as well as responding to additional requests for information from the Parties. A

detailed invoice for time spent by Counsel is available at the request of the Court.

28. The Receiver retained counsel with Klehr Harrison Harvey Branzburg LLP in order

to minimize costs and address counsel conflict issues when serving subpoenas against the various

financial institutions.

29. Alvarez & Marsal typically increases billing rates at the beginning of each calendar

year. Pursuant to the Preliminary Injunction [ECF No. 35, at 12-13], the Receiver intends to raise

rates for Alvarez and Marsal on January 1, 2026, in accordance with A&M's annual policy.

30. Under the Preliminary Injunction, the fees and expenses of the Receiver and his

professionals are to be paid out of the assets held by or in the possession or control of the Receiver

from the Defendants [ECF No. 35 at 13].

31. Professional fees and expenses incurred from October 12, 2025, through November

30, 2025, are as follows:

32. \$39,950.44 for Alvarez & Marsal North America LLC.

33. \$12,370.00 for DLA Piper LLP (US) and ZwillGen PLLC.

34. \$12,341.86 for Klehr Harrison Harvey Branzburg LLP, subpoena counsel.

Dated: December 29, 2025 Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Mark A. Roberts
Mark A. Roberts
Alvarez & Marsal North America LLC
655 15th Street, NW Suite 650
Washington, DC 20005

Federal Receiver